

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/562,926	12/30/2005	Masataka Kira	126514	3681
25944 OLIFF & BEF	7590 05/06/200 PRIDGE, PLC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 320	850	RUSH, ERIC		
ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22320-4850		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/06/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/562,926	KIRA, MASATAKA		
Examiner	Art Unit		
ERIC RUSH	2624		

	ERIC RUSH	2624	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 23 April 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following i application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 2 months from the mailing date the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 766.07()	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period civil under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the se set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office there may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS.	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal, and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); ter form for appeal by materially red	TE below); ducing or simplifying the	
NOTE:(See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a), 4 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. 5 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.		
7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims volud be rejected is proving the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
/Matthew C Bella/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The previous rejections under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) and 13 U.S.C. 102(e) Applicants Representative argues that Blake et al. fail to beach generating tunges whereas, the Applicant's Representative argues, the instant claims generate two images. The Examiner respectfully disagrees and asserts that Blake et al. do in fact beach generating two images. Blake et al. Fig. 1, Page 2 Paragraph 0025. Furthermore, Applicant's Representative states on page 12 of the remarks received 23 April 2009 'Blake has no interest in keeping the two images it creates..." Also, the claims as proposed state "generating a stereoscopic image" which is singular and not two distinct images. Finally the Examiner are that the virtual image generated by Blake et al. is a combination of a left and a right image, Blake et al. Page 2 Paragraph 0025, so it may be looked upon as being two images similiarly to the way the Applicant's Representative argues.